



So, your marking rubric may be developed as below. The standards still follow the cut off points of the JCU Grading system (Learning, Teaching and Assessment Policy Item 5.22.1):

(Upper-range D to HD; 80-100%)	(C to mid-range D; 65-79%)	(P; 50-64%)	(N; 0-49%)
	Standard that lies between these		Unacceptable standard



Alternatively, the overall grade for the assessment task may be arrived at by way of generating a formula (e.g. : HD on 3 criteria; no less than D on other criterion; : D on 3 criteria; no less than a C on other criterion, etc.).

To ensure that assessment judgements are defensible, consist and transparent, it is essential that criteria and standards are used in conjunction with and . Formative activities such as , are additional ways of using criteria and standards for the enhancement of student learning.

## My rubric has:

A manageable number of concisely stated criteria, aligned with SLOs?	Yes
The number of standards required for the task, aligned with the JCU grading system?	Yes
Standards that are reasonably pitched, framed positively and, where possible, focus on demonstrable qualities?	Yes

## Sample Task Rubric

(Upper D to HD)	(C to mid D)	(P)	



## Sample Course Learning Outcome Rubric

|--|